KABARNET UNIVERSITY COLLEGE PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL FORM

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

r>.

1.	Person	nal deta	ils of e	employe	e					
Emplo	yee Na	me				Emp	loyee Nı	ımber		
Design	nation					Depa	artment/0	Campus		
Length	of tim	e in cu	rrent po	osition						
Promo	tions (i	f any) s	since b	eing em	ployed					
i)										
ii)										
iii))									
Superv	isor's l	Name				Supervi	sed for e	entire per	riod	
D	Yes		D	No	(Months)
PART	B: EI	OUCAT	TONA	L DET	TAILS					
2.	(a)									
	(b)									
		•								
		•								
		•								
		•								
D A D/E	G D		WIAW	A COPO						
					SMENT	C 4 C.		11 0	TT	.1.1
3.	Has the last year been good <i>I</i> bad <i>I</i> satisfactory for you and why? How would you rate last year as relates to your work?									
	_	•		tes to yo			D	Dad		
4	D	Good		υ t. 1	Satisfactor			Bad	1 4	
4.	wnat	ao you	consid	er to be	your most in	nportant	achieven	nent in t	ne past ye	ear?
	I			•••••						
	I									
	I		•••••							

5.	What elements of your job do you find most difficult?							
	I							
	•							
	1							
6.	W	hat actions could be taken to improve your performance in y	our current position					
	by	you and your supervisor?						
	1.	Supervisor						
	1.	Supervisor	•					
		•						
		1						
		1						
	11.	You	•					
			•					
		11001111011101110111100111001110011001110011001100011000110001100110011001100110011001100110011001100110011001						
		I	•					
		1						

SIGNATURE DATE

PART D: ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE

(EVALUATE THE OFFICER ON THE JOB NOW BEING PERFORMED. MARK 'X' ON THE SPACE BELOW ON THE STATEMENT THAT BEST DESCRIBES THE OFFICER BEING APPRAISED, IN RELATION TO THE JOB ELEMENT IN QUESTION. THE CARE AND ACCURACY WITH WHICH THIS APPRAISAL IS MADE WILL DETERMINE ITS VALUE TO YOU, TO THE OFFICER AND TO THE UNVERSITY COLLEGE).

JOB ELEMENTS	EXCELLENT A	V.GOOD B	GOOD/AVERAGE C	POOR D	ADDITIONAL COMMENTS
KNOWLEDGE OF WORK: Consider knowledge of job gained.	Understands all aspects of work very well.	Knows work well but many require occasional assistance	Requires frequent assistance in the performance of work.	Poor knowledge of work.	
QUANTITY I QUALITY OF WORK: Consider the quantity and quality of work under normal conditions.	Above average in performance of work	Satisfactory output of work	Fair output of work	Poor work	
INITIATIVE AND ABILITY TO LEARN: Consider the speed and initiative the employee has in performance of work.	Has exceptional ability in understanding. Frequently initiates new ideas	Learns quickly and handles situations effectively.	Rarely shows initiative and constantly requires supervision	Too slow in grasping and retaining ideas	
CO-OPERATION: Consider manner of handling work relations (Team Work)	Goes out of his I her way to cooperate with others	Acceptable and gets along with colleagues.	Rather aloof and reluctant to cooperate.	Has poor cooperation	
JUDGEMENT: Does the employee think intelligently and makes decisions in a logical manner? Rational	Thinks quickly, logically and accurately.	Judgment usually logical/	Fairly reliable	Poor and unreliable in judgment.	
EXTRA WORK: Is the employee willing and cooperative while undertaking extra work in the department?	Willing and always cooperative in daily extra work.	Willing but uncooperative while performing the work.	Fairly willing and uncooperative	Unwilling to undertake extra work.	

r:

NOWLEDGE OF OFFICE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS: Is the employee able to organize and manage the office effectively	Very organized and manages office effectively	Usually organized and manages well	Fairly organized	Disorganized and unreliable in office management
PUNCTUALITY: Does the employee keep time coming and closing duty and departmental meeting?	Keeps Time always	Tries hard at keeping time	Gets late on many occasions	Always late.

PARTE:

5. POTENTIALFOR FURTHER ADVANCEMENT

- i) Is the officer suited for the job he is doing? YES / NO
- ii) In your opinion, is the officer ready for promotion? YES / NO
- iii) If the officer requires further training, suggest possible type of training.

((:)	CHIDEDVICODE DECOMMENDATIONS	
0.	(1)	SUPERVISORS RECOMMENDATIONS	

l ra	ate	's performance as:					
(i)	Exceeds expectations						
(ii)	Meets expectation						
(iii)	Does not meet expectation						
(ii)	SUPERVISOR'S:						
Na	me:						
De	Designation:						
Sig	gnature:	Date					

7. COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER'SREMARKS (e.g, Head of Department:

Name		
Designation		
Signature:	Date:	